

IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

REMSIMA

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

APPROVED

	PART A - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION				
Entered by:	Biosim	Biosimilar Product Information			
MAH	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Remsima			
MAH	MAH	Celltrion Inc. 13-6 Songdo-dong, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon City, Republic of Korea			
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Celltrion / 3			
MAH/ NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	Confidential – Not Released			
MAH	Name of the active substance	Infliximab (INN)			
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code: L04AB02			
MAH	Substance category	Monoclonal antibody			
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	White lyophilized powder in vial. After reconstitution, clear to yellowish solution			
MAH	Quantitative composition	100 mg/vial			
MAH	Route of administration	IV (Intravenous)			
MAH	Packaging/material	Glass vial			
MAH	Package size(s)	1 vial/pack			
MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 31 and Enforcement for drug safety article 4			
MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	"Guideline on Evaluation of Biosimilar Product (KFDA 2009)"			
MAH	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	20 July 2012			



	Reference Biothe	erapeutic Product (RBP) Information
МАН	Name of the RBP	Remicade
MAH	Authorised indications for RBP Pharmaceutical form	 Rheumatoid Arthritis Ankylosing Spondylitis Psoriasis Psoriatic Arthritis Adult Crohn's disease Pediatric Crohn's disease Adult Ulcerative Colitis Paediatric Ulcerative Colitis White lyophilized powder in vial
MAH	Quantitative composition	100 mg/vial
MAH	Route of administration	IV(Intravenous)
MAH	Packaging/material	Glass vial
MAH	Package size(s)	1 vial/pack
MAH/ NRA	Availability of the RBP assessment report (language)/link	Adult Crohn's disease, Ankylosing Spondylitis: http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?x=0&searchkey=product_nm∣=1176&searchword=레미케이드&cd=191&y=0&pageNo=1&seq=6319&cmd=v Adult Ulcerative Colitis, Rheumatoid Arthritis: http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?x=0&searchkey=product_nm∣=1176&searchword=레미케이드&cd=191&y=0&pageNo=1&seq=6367&cmd=vPsoriatic Arthritis; http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?x=0&searchkey=product_nm∣=1176&searchword=레미케이드&cd=191&y=0&pageNo=1&seq=6435&cmd=vPediatric Crohn's disease: http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?x=0&searchkey=product_nm∣=1176&searchword=레미케이드&cd=191&y=0&pageNo=1&seq=9456&cmd=vP456&c
МАН	Comparability exercise to demonstrate similarity to RBP	wmmary of outcomes Physicochemical and biological, in vitro and in vivo functional study Toxicological study PK/PD study Efficacy study (safety and efficacy)
NRA	Availability of full assessment report (language)/link	http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?x=0&searchkey=product_nm∣=1176&searchword=램시마&cd=191&y



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

		=0&pageNo=1&seq=14295&cmd=v
MAH	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	The indications applied for were all authorized for RBP (see section Authorised indications for RBP)
NRA	Authorised indications for biosimilar	 Rheumatoid Arthritis(2012.7) Ankylosing Spondylitis(2012.7) Psoriatic Arthritis(2012.7) Psoriasis(2012.7) Adult Crohn's disease(2012.7) Adult Ulcerative Colitis(2012.7) Pediatric Ulcerative Colitis(2015.2) Pediatric Crohn's disease (2015.2)

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) NRA (National Regulatory Authority)



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

MAH	Quality data. Composition of the biosimilar product(s)			
	Infliximab 100 mg			
MAH	Quality data. State-of-the-art method	ds		
	Physicochemical Test Methods 1. Primary structure: Amino acid A ping (LC-MS, HPLC),	nalysis(Whole, C-t	terminal, N-terminal), Peptide m	
	2. High-order structure : Disulfide bonds, Free-thiol residue , FTIR, CD, DSC			
	3. Micro-heterogeneity and Post-translational Forms : IEF, IEC-HPLC, Monosaccharid Sialic acid content, Oligosaccharide profile (LC-MS, Bio-LC), N-linked glycan ana sis			
	Biological activity 1. TNF alpha binding activities: SPR, ELISA			
	2. TNF alpha neutralization activity			
	3. Fcγ Binding activities: FcγRI(SPR), FcγRIIa(SPR), FcγRIIIa(SPR), FcRn(SPR),			
	4. C1q binding activity(ELISA)			
	5. CDC			
	5. CDC 6. ADCC			
NRA	5. CDC			
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome	Compossility	Domonico	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes	Comparability	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure		Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes	Comparability Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure		Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence)	Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence) N/C-terminal sequence	Comparable Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence) N/C-terminal sequence Disulfide bond	Comparable Comparable Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence) N/C-terminal sequence Disulfide bond Free thiol residue	Comparable Comparable Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence) N/C-terminal sequence Disulfide bond Free thiol residue Physicochemical analyses	Comparable Comparable Comparable Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence) N/C-terminal sequence Disulfide bond Free thiol residue Physicochemical analyses High-order structure(FTIR, CD, DSC)	Comparable Comparable Comparable Comparable Comparable	Remarks	
NRA	5. CDC 6. ADCC 7. Apoptosis Quality data assessment outcome Attributes Structure Peptide mapping(amino acid sequence) N/C-terminal sequence Disulfide bond Free thiol residue Physicochemical analyses High-order structure(FTIR, CD, DSC) Molecular weight(LC-MS)	Comparable Comparable Comparable Comparable Comparable Comparable	Remarks No effect on biological activity	

PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY



IEF	Comparable	
IEC-HPLC	Difference	No effect on biological activity
Protein content	Comparable	
Glycosylation analysis		
Monosaccharide	Comparable	
Sialic acid content	Comparable	
Oligosaccharide profile (LC-MS, Bio-LC)	Difference	No effect on biological activity (ADCC)
Biological activity		
CDC	Minor difference	Few outlier batches exist
C1q Binding activity(ELISA)	Comparable	
FcγRI Binding activity (SPR)	Comparable	Few outlier batches exist
FcγRIIa Binding activity (SPR)	Comparable	
FeγRIIIa Binding activity (SPR)	Difference	Lower Binding activity Comparable in ADCC
FcRn Binding activity (SPR)	Comparable	
TNFα Binding activity (SPR)	Comparable	
TNFα Binding activity (ELISA)	Comparable	
CELISA	Comparable	Few outlier batches
TNFα Neutralization activity	Comparable	
ADCC	Comparable	Effecter cells: PBMC
Apoptosis	Comparable	

MAH	Mechanism of action
	Infliximab binds highly specifically to both soluble and transmembrane forms of TNF alpha
MAH	Nonclinical data. In vitro studies
	1. TNF alpha binding activities: SPR, ELISA
	2. TNF beta binding activity(ELISA)
	3. TNF alpha neutralization activity
	4. Fcγ Binding activities: FcγRI(SPR), FcγRIIa(SPR), FcγRIIIa(SPR), FcRn(SPR),
	5. C1q binding activity(ELISA)
	6. CDC
	7. ADCC
	8. Apoptosis
	9. Tissue cross-reactivity



MAH	Nonclinical data. In vivo studies
	One week (2 doses: day1, 8) toxicity studies in rats (IV) with comparative manner
	Toxicokinetics studies in rat (single and repeat dose) with comparative manner
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome
	1. In vitro studies
	See Quality assessment data outcome In tissue cross reactivity, 40 kinds of human tissues were tested with Remsima and Remicade. Both showed same results. 2. In vivo studies
	In repeat dose toxicity, rat was not a relevant species for infliximab so purpose of comparative toxicity studies was to see off-target activity. In both Remsima and Remicade, all injected doses were tolerable and showed similar responses. In ADME studies, single IV studies in rat showed similar PK profile. Also in TK studies in repeat dose toxicity, showed similar C_{max} and AUC_{0-168h} .
	CLINICAL STUDIES
	- Include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity.
	Pharmacokinetic, PK
	Pharmacodynamic, PD
	• Efficacy,
	• Safety,
	• Immunogenicity.
MAH	Clinical data. PK studies
	Study Number: CT-P13 1.1 (PLANET AS)
	Summary of design: Pharmacokinetics study with randomized, double-blind, parallel group, phase 1 trial
	Population: Active disease Ankylosing Spondylitis (CT-P13 125, Remicade 125 patients)
	Objective and primary endpoint: Demonstration of comparable PK at steady state in terms of AUC $_{\tau}$ and C $_{maxSS}$ between CT-P13 and Remicade up to weeks 30. Secondary endpoint is to see long term efficacy, PK and safety up to weeks 54.
	Dose used: 5 mg/kg of CT-P13 or Remicade (Induction: at the weeks of 0,2,6(3 times), Maintenance: at the weeks of 14,22,30,38,46,54 (6 times)
	Length of the study: 54 weeks
NRA	Clinical data. PK data assessment outcome
	The primary PK endpoint, the geometric mean of AUC_{τ} , C_{maxSS} were also comparable in the CT-P13 and Remicade. The 90% CI of geometric mean of AUC_{τ} was 93% ~ 116%, C_{maxSS} was 95%~109%, which are within the limit of the acceptance margin (80%~125%).
	The 90% CI of geometric mean in antibody-negative subset patient was also within the limit of margin.
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies
	No specific PD study was conducted due to no relevant biomarker of therapeutic activity. However, in the efficacy study, several biomarkers were compared between Remsima and Remicade.



NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome			
	There were no significant differences in the level of CRP, ESR, IgA RF, IgM RA between CT-P13 and Remicade although variability exists. Statistically significant differences were observed in anti-CCP level at the weeks of 30, IgG RF level at week 14. However, these biomarkers more represent overall pathological profile rather than detecting anti-TNF alpha effect.			
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies			
1417 111	Study Number: CT-P13 3.1 (PLANET RA)			
	Summary of design: Efficacy and safety study with randomized, double-blind, parallel group, phase 3 trial			
	Population: Active disease Rheumatoid Arthritis with methotrexate concomitant treatment (CT-P13 302, Remicade 304 patients)			
	Objective and primary endpoint: Demonstration of equivalence between CT-P13 and Remicade of response rate ACR20 at week 30. Secondary endpoint was other long-term efficacy parameter safety parameters, PK and PD up to week 54.			
	Dose used : 3 mg/kg of CT-P13 or Remicade			
	Length of the study: 54 weeks			
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data assessment outcome			
	Treatment Group n/N' (%) Estimate of Treatment Difference Differen			
	Treatment Group n/N' (%) Difference¹ Difference² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (58.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/251 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement; CI, confidence interval. Note: N'=the number of patients with an assessment, n=the number of patients with the event, (%)=n/N'×100. 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 – Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the			
	Treatment Group n/N' (%) Difference¹ Difference² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (58.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/251 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement; CI, confidence interval. Note: N'=the number of patients with an assessment, n=the number of patients with the event, (%)=n/N'×100. 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 – Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range -15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36			
	Treatment Group n/N' (%) Difference¹ Difference² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (58.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/251 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement; CI, confidence interval. Note: N'=the number of patients with an assessment, n=the number of patients with the event, (%)=n/N'×100. 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 – Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range -15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good			
	Treatment Group n/N' (%) Difference¹ Difference² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (58.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/251 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement; CI, confidence interval. Note: N'=the number of patients with an assessment, n=the number of patients with the event, (%)=n/N'×100. 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 – Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range -15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good			
МАН	Treatment Group n/N' (%) Difference¹ Difference² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (58.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/251 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement; CI, confidence interval. Note: N'=the number of patients with an assessment, n=the number of patients with the event, (%)=n/N×100. 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 – Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range -15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good responder ratio by EULAR criteria, and others by the weeks of 30 (and 54). All are			
МАН	Treatment Group n/N (%) Difference ¹ Difference ² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (38.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/251 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement; CI, confidence interval. Note: N"-the number of patients with an assessment, n"-the number of patients with the event, (%)-univ*100. 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 - Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range -15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good responder ratio by EULAR criteria, and others by the weeks of 30 (and 54). All are comparable with Remicade group except the onset time of ACR20 response. Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies Safety data were collected from all clinical study; CT-P13 1.1 (AS patients), 1.2 & 3.1 (RA			
МАН	Treatment Group unN (%) Difference ¹ All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06.0.10) Remicade 178/304 (38.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04.0.12) Remicade 175/251 (60.7) ACR2.0, American College of Rhemustology definition of a 20% improvement CL confidence interval. Note: Note: Note: instead of patients with an assessment, note number of patients with the event (%)=n/N v10.0 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 - Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range-15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good responder ratio by EULAR criteria, and others by the weeks of 30 (and 54). All are comparable with Remicade group except the onset time of ACR20 response. Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies Safety data were collected from all clinical study; CT-P13 1.1 (AS patients), 1.2 & 3.1 (RA patients). Analysed patients who administered at least one or partial dose of either of the study treatments during any dosing period. Immunogenicity profile was collected from CT-P13 1.1 and 3.1 studies.			
MAH	Treatment Group n/N (%) Difference ¹ Difference ² All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/902 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10) Remicade 178/304 (58.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) Remicade 175/51 (69.7) ACR20, American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement CI, confidence interval. Note: N°-the number of patients with an assessment, n°-the number of patients with the event, (%)=nN*100 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 - Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range -1.5% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good responder ratio by EULAR criteria, and others by the weeks of 30 (and 54). All are comparable with Remicade group except the onset time of ACR20 response. Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies Safety data were collected from all clinical study; CT-P13 1.1 (AS patients), 1.2 & 3.1 (RA patients). Analysed patients who administered at least one or partial dose of either of the study treatments during any dosing period.			
	Treatment Group unN (%) Difference ¹ All-Randomized Population CT-P13 184/302 (60.9) 0.02 (-0.06.0.10) Remicade 178/304 (38.6) Per-Protocol Population CT-P13 182/248 (73.4) 0.04 (-0.04.0.12) Remicade 175/251 (60.7) ACR2.0, American College of Rhemustology definition of a 20% improvement CL confidence interval. Note: Note: Note: instead of patients with an assessment, note number of patients with the event (%)=n/N v10.0 1. Estimate of the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups (CT-P13 - Remicade) using the exact binomial test. 2. Therapeutic equivalence was concluded if the 95% CI for the difference in proportions between the 2 treatment groups was entirely contained within the range-15% to 15%. Secondary endpoint was ACR50, ACR 70, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI, increase in SF-36 including fatigue, ACR hybrid score, VAS by patient and global assessment, Good responder ratio by EULAR criteria, and others by the weeks of 30 (and 54). All are comparable with Remicade group except the onset time of ACR20 response. Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies Safety data were collected from all clinical study; CT-P13 1.1 (AS patients), 1.2 & 3.1 (RA patients). Analysed patients who administered at least one or partial dose of either of the study treatments during any dosing period. Immunogenicity profile was collected from CT-P13 1.1 and 3.1 studies.			



November 2015

	CT-P13 (ug/ml)	Remicade(ug/ml)
	181(60.1%)	183(60.8%)
TEAE	Latent Tuberculosis,	Latent Tuberculosis
IEAE	Pharyngitis,	ALT increase
	Hypertension	headache

2. Immunogenicity: Immunogenicity of Remsima and Remicade from CT-P13 3.1 was similar.

표 2.7.2- 22: CT-P13 3 상 임상시험(CT-P13 3.1)의 면역원성 검사 요약: 안전성 분석군

Heading	CT-P13 3mg/kg (n=301)	Remicade® 3m/kg (n=301)	Total (n=602)
Screening		-	
ADA Positive	9 (3.0%)	6 (2.0%)	15 (2.5%)
NAb (as % of ADA positive)	4 (44.4%)	2 (33.3%)	6 (40.0%)
ADA Negative	284 (94.4%)	291 (96.7%)	575 (95.5%)
Week 14	-	_	
ADA Positive	68 (22.6%)	70 (23.3%)	138 (22.9%)
NAb (as % of ADA positive)	68 (100.0%)	67 (95.7%)	135 (97.8%)
ADA Negative	204 (67.8%)	201 (66.8%)	405 (67.3%)
Week 30			
ADA Positive	121 (40.2%)	120 (39.9%)	241 (40.0%)
NAb (as % of ADA positive)	118 (97.5%)	116 (96.7%)	234 (97.1%)
ADA Negative	129 (42.9%)	133 (44.2%)	262 (43.5%)

N	T 4 1 1994 94 41 DDD		
MAH	Interchangeability with the RBP		
	No additional data were provided		
MAH	Additional information about the comparability exercise As appropriate, if not previously included.		
MAH	Post-authorization measures		
	Re-examination study in Korea; Observational, prospective cohort study to evaluate safety and efficacy of Remsima - Period: 2012. 7.20~2016. 7.19		
	Number of subjects (1600): Adult and pediatric crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis (600), Ankylosing spondylitis (600), Rheumatoid arthritis and plaque psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis (400)		
NRA	Post-authorization measures assessment outcome.		
	Number of subjects of Remsima for re-examination study met the MFDS criteria (over 400).		
MAH	Availability of additional relevant information in the local language/ link As required /appropriate		



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS

NRA

Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval, interchangeability

The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation, guidelines and international guidelines.

Quality

All major physicochemical characteristics and biological activities of Remsima were comparable to those of the reference biotherapeutic product Remicade .

Nonclinical

Overall, the PK/PD data for Remsima and Remicade are considered similar and no differences between Remsima and the reference biotherapeutic Remicade were apparent in relation to general toxicity.

Clinical Studies

Pharmacology: The pivotal PK trial demonstrated that Remsima and Remicade exhibit a similar PK profile in AS patients, and additional supportive PK data were obtained in RA patients. PD data were supportive.

Efficacy: The pivotal efficacy studies to demonstrate biosimilarity were conducted in rhematoid arthritis patients and provided robust evidence of therapeutic equivalence between Remsima and the reference biotherapeutic Remicade

Safety: The ADRs observed with Remsima were in the same range as the ADRs observed with the reference biotherapeutic Remicade.

Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed anti-drug antibodies (ADA) with Remsima was generally similar for the reference biotherapeutic product Remicade

Risk Management

The risk management plan (or equivalent) was considered to be acceptable.

Overall Conclusion

Based on the robust comparisons of the physicochemical and in vitro and ex vivo biological analyses, Remsima was considered biosimilar to the reference product Remicade. These data, in combination with clinical data demonstrating pharmacokinetic and therapeutic equivalence in rheumatology conditions, allow for extrapolation to all other indications of Remicade.

Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstrated using an appropriate comparability exercise The biosimilar product Remsima was considered approvable.